

What Drives Quality

A Deep Dive into Software Quality with Practical
Solutions for Delivering High-Quality Products

BEN LINDERS



What Drives Quality

A Deep Dive into Software Quality with
Practical Solutions for Delivering
High-Quality Products

Ben Linders

This book is for sale at <http://leanpub.com/WhatDrivesQuality>

This version was published on 2017-09-29

ISBN 978-94-92119-14-8



Leanpub

This is a [Leanpub](#) book. Leanpub empowers authors and publishers with the Lean Publishing process. [Lean Publishing](#) is the act of publishing an in-progress ebook using lightweight tools and many iterations to get reader feedback, pivot until you have the right book and build traction once you do.

© 2013 - 2017 Ben Linders

Tweet This Book!

Please help Ben Linders by spreading the word about this book on [Twitter!](#)

The suggested tweet for this book is:

I'm reading "What Drives Quality". Get your own copy!
benlinders.com/what-drives-quality #DriveQuality

The suggested hashtag for this book is [#DriveQuality](#).

Find out what other people are saying about the book by clicking on this link to search for this hashtag on Twitter:

[#DriveQuality](#)

Contents

Foreword	i
Preface	iii
Introduction	v
Driving Quality	1
Requirements	5
Quality Software with Agile Teams	14
Deliver High-Quality Software with Agile Teamwork	18
Develop Your Software Quality Skills	21
About the Author	23
Bibliography	24

Foreword

Ben Linders states in his [Preface](#) that quality is not a “sexy” topic. In fact, IT executives yawn when you mention “quality”, and start checking email on their smartphones. Even so, another term for quality is “risk”. Having entered the era of 9-digit defects (not bits or bytes, rather Euros or dollars), the risk exposure of poor quality software has implications for the quarterly financials. The CEO, not the CIO, is now answering for the effects of poor quality software.

Most of the recent €100 million IT outages and security breaches are traced back to defects in the software. Some defects, such as the all too frequent SQL Injection vulnerabilities, have been well-known since the last century. So why do developers still make these mistakes? And why are they not caught before being placed into production?

Too often the answer is an “I need it yesterday” mentality, exacerbated by a lack of professional discipline. This book delves into the factors that affect quality at every step of the software development cycle. It then describes practices that help development teams gain control of them to produce dependable, trustworthy software. Consequently, these practices reduce the risk to operations and the cost of maintenance.

Since most IT organizations report they are implementing some form of iterative/agile/DevOps development process, this book focuses on adopting quality practices in agile environments. In fact, half of the book is devoted to agile quality techniques. Ben’s objective is to make sure the speed of delivery is matched by the speed of assurance.

This book is not a compendium of everything known about software quality. Rather it is a succinct summary of what we know and

how to apply it. It can be read in “agile time”, and delivers a solid overview that can set readers on course to higher quality, lower risk software. Start here, then follow Ben’s recommended readings if you want a deeper dive into specific quality topics. This book is a valuable contribution to professional software engineering practice.

Dr. Bill Curtis

Executive Director, Consortium for IT Software Quality
Fort Worth, Texas

Preface

I'm an active blogger at www.benlinders.com. On my blog, I share my experiences on agile and lean topics, including software quality.

I published [my first book on Valuable Agile Retrospectives](#) in 2013. This book – [Getting Value out of Agile Retrospectives](#) – has become a huge success. It has many readers all over the world and has been [translated by agile teams of volunteers](#) into 13 languages already.

Many readers have told me that they value my blog posts on quality. In 2014 I started writing my second book and decided that the topic should be software quality.

The book started from the blog post series [What Drives Quality](#) which is based on the research that I did with the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) and my experience working as quality and process manager in large organizations.

The first editions of this book were a kind of Minimum Viable Product to find out if people are actually interested in software quality. From the feedback that I received, I found out that there is an audience for this book – there are sufficient readers who feel that quality matters.

However, I also found out that quality is not a “sexy” topic. Since it's hard to develop high-quality products many people avoid the topic. Opinions vary on what quality is and isn't and what can be done to ingrain quality into the way products are developed.

A book on quality should be practical. It should help you, reader of this book, to improve the quality of your software and deliver better products. It should inspire you and give you energy to persevere on your quality journey. *What Drives Quality* tries to do just that, and more.

This book is based on my experience as a developer, tester, team leader, project manager, quality manager, process manager, consultant, coach, trainer, and adviser in Agile, Lean, Quality and Continuous Improvement. It takes a deep dive into quality with views from different perspectives and provides ideas, suggestions, practices, and experiences that will help you to improve quality of the products that your organization is delivering.

I'm aiming this book at software developers and testers, architects, product owners and managers, agile coaches, Scrum masters, project managers, and operational and senior managers who consider quality to be important.

I want to thank the many reviewers of my book for investing time and suggesting improvements: Ard-Jan Moerdijk, Ben Liu, Chris Spanner, Heidi Araya, Johan van Dongen, Martin Wiseman, Paul Hookham, Peter Rubarth, Piotr Jachimczak, Rene Ummels, Sharon Bockhoudt, Tom Gilb, Shiv Sivaguru, Srinath Ramakrishnan, Stephen Janaway, William R. Corcoran, and Vasilij Savin. Special thanks goes to Brandi Olson, Rik D'huyvetters, and Yanto Hesselning, for proofreading several versions of the book and providing many suggestions. Your feedback has helped me to make this a better book.

I feel honored having a foreword by Bill Curtis in which he emphasized how important quality is and confirms that quality assurance and speed of delivery can go together.

Finally, I would like to thank all the people who invest time to read my blog and comment on the posts. Your feedback helps me to increase my understanding of the topics that I write about and makes it worthwhile for me to keep blogging!

Ben Linders
September 2017

Introduction

This book views software quality from an engineering, management, and social perspective. It explores the interaction between all involved in delivering high-quality software to users and provides ideas to do it quicker and at lower costs.

What's in This Book

In this book, I explore how quality plays a role in all of the software development activities. It takes a deep dive into quality by listing the relevant factors of development and management activities that drive the quality of software products. It provides a lean approach to quality by analyzing the full development chain from customer requests to delivering products to users.

The book starts by explaining what [Driving Quality](#) is all about – introducing software quality and explaining why it matters.

The chapter [Deep Dive into Quality](#) explores the factors that drive quality in software activities performed by development teams and explains what managers can do to support teams of professionals in pursuing quality.

The chapter [Quality Software with Agile Teams](#) contains stories and case studies showing how the quality of software products can be improved. They are based on my experience working with teams and managers, helping them to face and solve quality issues, and improve their performance for sustainable and lasting results.

What Drives Quality doesn't intend to teach you the theory behind quality or provide detailed descriptions of all possible quality practices. The [Bibliography](#) provides an extensive list of books, articles, and links, that you can use to acquire in depth knowledge on software quality.

How To Use the Book

This is a practical book with many techniques and ideas that you can apply in your specific situation, within the method or framework that you are using. It aims to help you to improve the quality of the products that you deliver to your users.

There are many suggestions in this book, things which might help you to improve quality. They are marked as tips with a key symbol:



Try those tips that look suitable and see if they works for you. If they do, great! If not, try another one.

I also added many stories and cases from organizations that I have worked with to share my experience:



Stories and cases are boxed with a user symbol. Use them to get inspiration and think about what you might do to improve quality.

The suggestions provided in this book are suitable for agile teams and the management of agile organizations given the fact that many software development organizations have or are adopting agile. Specific agile quality practices are also described, with advice on how to apply them effectively based on an agile mindset.



Register your book today to get access to supporting materials at benlinders.com/what-drives-quality.

With plenty of ideas, suggestions, and practical cases on software quality, this book will help you to improve the quality of your software and to deliver high-quality products to your users and satisfy the needs of your customers and stakeholders.

Driving Quality

Many methods for product quality improvement start by investigating the problems and then working their way back to the point where the problem started. For instance audits and [root cause analysis](#) work this way. But what if you could prevent problems from happening, by building an understanding what drives quality, thus enabling you to take action before problems actually occur?



Almost everyone that I have met in my career agrees that it's better to prevent defects or detect them earlier in software development than to have them found by the users of their products.

Still, many companies struggle with changing their process from “testing or inspecting quality in” to achieving quality from the start – through culture, design, craftsmanship, and leadership.

What is Quality

The quality of a software product or system is primarily how it satisfies the needs of the users, customers, and stakeholders, and delivers value to them. This definition takes an *external view*. It puts quality in the eyes of the beholders: the users, customers, and stakeholders decide if a software product or service has sufficient quality, or not.



If the quality of a software product is insufficient according to the users then they will not use it. If the customers or stakeholders do not get enough value from the product, then they will not buy or support it. Satisfying the needs of all is crucial for software (or any) products to be successful.

How to Deliver Quality

Teams can only deliver quality if they are driven by the needs of the users, customers, and stakeholders. But how can you ensure that you are able to develop products that meet these needs? This is where an *internal view* of quality helps us. An internal view looks at the architecture and design of the software. It explores the processes and practices that are used to deliver the software. It focuses on the culture of the company, leadership, goals, and reward systems that drive the right behavior.



Agile teams need to decide how they will develop and test their software. My experience working with agile teams tells me that having a solid Definition of Done (DoD) helps to know when products have sufficient quality. Successful teams stick to their agreed upon DoD and adapt it when it turns out that the delivered quality is insufficient. They are supported by their managers, who expect them to define, use, and improve their DoD, and allow them time to do this.

The internal quality view helps to develop software that is understandable and maintainable. Software that can easily be changed when there are new requirements or when the environment in which the software is used changes and the software needs to be adapted.

The Why of Quality

I see a lot of attention paid to the *How* of quality. We have dozens of models like ISO 9000 and ISO 25010, TQM, CMMI, [People-CMM](#), [Agile and Lean](#), and Kanban which tell us how we should develop software, and manage software development. We know how to do [agile self-assessments](#), [agile retrospectives](#), and [root cause analysis](#) to improve the way we work. We can even [measure quality](#).

But do we understand and give enough attention to the *Why* of quality? Do we talk to the users of our products? Do we know what

is important for them and why? Do we actually know our users? How do they use our software and what value do they want to get from using it?

Quality is in the eye of the beholder, so in the end, it are the users who judge if we are delivering quality or not, and what our product is worth. A good understanding of why quality is important and what our users consider quality to be is crucial to delivering high-quality software products.

Combining Why and How

To reach quality you need a good combination of [understanding why](#) and [deciding how](#).



To deliver quality you have to understand why a user needs certain functionality. Ask yourself why they want the system to be available 24/7? Find out why they want the software to be easy to use, and with fast response times? Why they need it next week, and not at the end of the year, what makes it so important for them?

Just knowing your user's needs (the requirements) is not enough, you must also understand why the users need it, what is it that they want to accomplish, what is important for them, what's the value? Only then can you deliver real quality!

Deciding how is about how you will develop and deliver a quality product or service.

You have to know how to deliver within budget and time constraints, with the professionals and the knowledge and skills that are currently available. And you must also decide what processes, practices, and tools you will use to develop the product, and manage teams, projects, or products.

Quality Practices

This book explores the role of quality in software development activities. It takes a deep dive into quality with the Quality Factors Model. This model lists the relevant quality factors of each development phase (a bunch of activities usually done at the same time). It describes why these factors drive the quality of products and how you can influence them.

Although the term “phase” is used, it doesn’t mean that a specific sequence of activities is required to improve quality. The Quality Factors Model doesn’t prescribe or assume any specific lifecycle.

Practices and suggestions which drive quality are provided which you can use to improve the quality of the products that you deliver to your users. They can be applied in waterfall or iterative projects that for example use Prince-2 or RUP, by agile teams using frameworks like Scrum, Kanban, or XP, and in organizations that are doing large scale agile software development with for instance the [Scaled Agile Framework \(SAFe\)](#), [Large Scale Scrum\(LeSS\)](#) [Disciplined Agile Delivery \(DAD\)](#), [Nexus](#) or [Agility Path](#).

Requirements

With requirements, I mean activities for specifying the products to be developed and supporting activities such as requirements clarification, prioritization, commitment, and requirements management and traceability.

Irrespective of which development method (waterfall, iterative, agile, etc) is used, you need to create a common understanding and agreement between the product owner/manager and the development team to deliver the right products.

Factors that drive Requirements Quality are (in no particular order):

1. *Requirements Management Capability* – Skill and experience level of the professionals performing the requirements managing activities.
2. *Requirements Commitment* – Agreements between the product owner/manager the project managers and team members, where the project/teams commit what to deliver.
3. *Requirements Stability* – Inverse of the number of requirement changes over time. The fewer requirement changes you have, the higher requirements stability will be.
4. *Requirements Process Maturity* – The quality of the defined and baselined requirements processes and practices, including supporting materials such as training and document templates.
5. *Roadmap Quality* – Usability of the roadmap with respect to requirements management.
6. *Scope Stability* – Impact of major project changes related to the product roadmap, including stability of the products to be developed, development teams, projects, and major changes in team/project funding or product delivery dates.
7. *Root Cause Analysis* – Capability to learn from defects found during development. Analyzing defects, determining com-

mon causes related to processes, tools, development environment, capabilities, management, and organization, and defining actions to prevent them from recurring.

8. *Requirements Definition Capability* – The skill and experience level of the people performing requirements definition.

Let's take a look at some of these factors in more detail, to see how they drive quality.

Requirements Management Capability

To enable the delivery of products with sufficient quality, agile teams need to have user stories that are ready at the start of a sprint.



Teams can use a [Definition of Ready \(DoR\)](#) to check the quality of the user stories. A DoR states the criteria that a user story should meet to be accepted into an iteration.

Some useful resources to make your own Definition of Ready are:

- The [INVEST principle](#) by Bill Wake.
- [10 Tips for writing good user stories](#) by Roman Pichler.
- The book – [User Stories Applied](#) by Mike Cohn.
- The book – [50 quick ideas to improve your user stories](#) by Gojko Adzic.
- [Using a Definition of Ready](#) on InfoQ.
- [Exercise cards for defining your DoR and DoD](#) by David Koontz.

Requirements Commitment

The purpose of requirement commitment is to have agreements between the stakeholders and projects/teams about delivering a product with specific functionality to the customers.

Agile teams use product backlogs to manage their requirements. Product owners prioritize the user stories. Waterfall and iterative projects usually define priorities up front in their project plans.

Having commitment on the requirements by all involved stakeholders is important as it ensures that you are developing a product that your customers need and are willing to pay for. Projects/teams also need to be committed to doing whatever they can to deliver products with the specified functionality.



You don't need to have a commitment on everything for developing products. It is unfeasible, too expensive and takes too long to get.

Product owners/managers often have to decide with imperfect and incomplete information. In the book [Product Mastery](#) Geoff Watts suggests reducing the number of options, be clear about the criteria on which you need to decide, involve people in the decision, and accept that decisions cannot be perfect.

In order that teams can start developing products, you have to make sure that stakeholders agree on the priorities and that there is sufficient commitment to warrant investing time and money.



My advice is to find out and verify what needs to be delivered first. I usually ask the stakeholders the question "What do we need now?"

Having prioritized user stories that are ready at the start of an iteration helps to increase commitment from the stakeholders and the development team, resulting in higher product quality.



To be able to act upon changing requirements a good approach is to commit to as little as possible. Olav Maassen and Chris Matts suggest in their book [Commitment](#) to "never commit early unless you know why". This is a good approach to deal with change.

Requirements Stability

Requirements stability is the inverse of the number of requirement changes over time. The fewer requirement changes you have, the higher requirements stability will be.

The aim of requirements stability is not to prevent changes to requirements from happening – they will happen. Discouraging them or (even worse) ignoring them is no solution either.

It matters that projects and teams are sufficiently capable to deal with changes and can maintain stability during development.



Developers and tester should use the available possibilities to ask for clarification if something is not clear with the requirements. Agile teams often do this during the sprint planning, product backlog refinement or backlog grooming meetings.

The purpose of product backlog refinement or backlog grooming meetings is to keep the backlog up to date and orderly. These meetings are also often used to discuss the business value and priority of the backlog items.



My suggestion is to mark requirements which are insufficiently clear (except of course for the ones which are clarified during meetings) so that it is clear for product owners and team members that more work needs to be done before they can be pulled into an iteration.

Time and money are invested in an iteration. Every decision on adding a user story to the iteration backlog of a team is actually an investment decision – which is something many teams and organizations are not aware of.

Agile teams using [Scrum](#) treat requirements as being stable during an iteration (sprint). When a user story is added to an iteration the assumption is that there is a real need for software that fulfils the requirement described in the user story.

In agile, the requirements are fixed during an iteration and flexible over iterations (more on this in [fixing scope in agile projects](#)).

If there is a risk that a requirement underlying a user story may change at short notice then it may be better to select a different high priority user story for the next iteration.



It's a good practice to always have user stories ready for 2-3 iterations so that it is possible to switch user stories during the sprint planning.

Having sufficient user stories ready also helps if teams finish all user stories before the end of an iteration. At that time they can agree with the product owner to pick another high priority user story and add it to the ongoing iteration.

Iterations can also be used to clarify requirements. You can use a [spike](#), a practice from eXtreme Programming, to research a requirement or to investigate the feasibility of a technical solution which helps you to drive out risk and uncertainty.

This is somewhat similar to using a Minimum Viable Product (MVP) in [Lean Startup](#) to increase the knowledge of what users really need.

Roadmap Quality

Product roadmaps typically contain information about:

- When to develop which product versions.
- Business cases for product versions.
- Allocation of scope to versions.
- Product and feature introduction dates and plans.

- End of maintenance dates.
- Phase out dates.

The purpose of roadmaps is to synchronize and align activities of all involved. They should reflect current insights, which means that they will change frequently based on feedback from users, customers, stakeholders, and development teams.



It's important to keep roadmaps up to date and communicate changes to keep everyone involved. You may think that that's a no-brainer, but I've seen many organizations where only senior product managers worked with the roadmaps and didn't involve others – which is (literally) not a workable solution.



Transparency is essential if people want to work together effectively. My advice is to make roadmaps accessible for everyone and use them as information radiators.

Scope Stability

Many organizations struggle with changing requirements. The scope of their projects is unstable, which can have a major impact on the quality of the developed products.

Managing scope stability increases the quality and effectiveness of development projects.



One solution that is used in agile is to stabilize the requirements for an iteration. This helps teams to focus and deliver working software in small chunks.

Risk management techniques can be used to identify potential changes, and to take actions to limit impact, for instance by clarifying requirements or reducing the project scope before starting development.

Story mapping can be used to visualize the product that needs to be developed. It uses a matrix to horizontally group the functionality and vertically slice it up into iterations. Discussing the story map helps to discover missing functionality and to prioritize in which order the product will be delivered (most valuable parts first).

Requirements Definition

The requirements definition capability has to do with how you communicate requirements – ultimately the definition has to be in the head of the developers and testers.

Using a requirement specification document (or any other written format like use cases or even user stories in agile) often leads to confusion, resulting in developing wrong products that the users don't need.

In agile, the sprint planning is a meeting held at the start of an iteration where all involved discuss what needs to be developed. It's important is that assumptions made by the developers and testers are communicated and checked with those responsible for supplying the requirements. In agile, those are usually the product owners.



It is essential to have frequent in-depth communication between development teams and the product owners or managers and (future) users about what the software should do to ensure that the right products are developed. Development teams should be able to ask for clarification if something is not clear. They should develop a good understanding of how the products will be used.

In agile, the ability to write effective user stories enables teams to deliver the right products fast. [Effective user stories](#) express the needs of users and support effective communication and collaboration between product owners and agile teams. They are prompts for communication which help to understand the needs of users and give clarity to ensure the right products are built.



Richer communication techniques have proven to significantly reduce requirements ambiguity and improve clarity. Examples are face to face discussions, requirement clarification workshops, visiting users and involving them, and agile planning and backlog grooming. They serve to verify the requirements with product owners and users and help to map them to engineering tasks.

Defining the requirements may include activities like user experience (UX) design or user interface (UI) design. The aim of such activities is to help teams to produce a software product that is easy to use and does what users expect that it would do. Developers and testers need to communicate closely with the designers doing UX/UI activities to understand how the product should look and how the users will be using it.

Acceptance Test Driven Development (ATTD) is a practice where the acceptance criteria are discussed and acceptance test cases are defined before code is produced. It's primarily intended to increase the understanding of the requirements using different views: what do the users need, how can we solve that, and how can we test it.



On many occasions, I've seen the value of having testers involved when defining and clarifying requirements. Their questions have often lead to a better understanding and earlier identification of flaws and risks.

Behavior Driven Development (BDD) is a practice to describe the required behavior of the software. Using conversations, concrete examples, and automated tests, it helps to streamline the communication between product owners/managers, domain experts, and teams about what the software should do. It can also be used to define acceptance criteria which can be automated as described earlier in ATTD.

Root Cause Analysis

Many defects found during reviews or in testing have their origins in the requirements activities. Root cause analysis is a practice for finding the deeper causes of such problems.



I consider the ability to do [root cause analysis](#) to be an important driver for software quality. Major or frequently occurring defects often provide valuable information about flaws in your products and/or development processes, which you can use to improve the quality of your products.

For root cause analysis I prefer to use the Apollo method described in the book [Apollo Root Cause Analysis](#) by Dean L. Gano. Strong points of this methods are that it facts (not assumptions) and timing are taken into account when looking for cause-effect relationships.

Note: The above mentioned book is out of print. Dean's recent book [RealityCharting](#) provides a causal analysis process which can be used to visualize all causes, the interrelationships between causes, and effective solutions to prevent recurrence.

Increasing Requirements Quality

To deliver high-quality products to customers, quality practices have to be ingrained throughout development – quality starts with ensuring the quality of the requirements!

Quality Software with Agile Teams

Agile teamwork has shown to be a great approach to deliver high-quality software products. The agile values favor quality, and there are lots of agile practices available that teams can apply to develop high-quality software. Users are happy with the early and frequent deliveries of working software by those agile teams.

What is Software Quality?

I define high-quality software as “software that satisfies the needs of the users and delivers value to them.” Quality is in the eye of the beholder – it are the users who decide if a software product or service has is quality, not the agile teams. Software has to be “fit for purpose” – user needs to be able to do their work using the software.



Teams can only deliver quality if they are driven by the needs of the users. In agile, this is supported by the agile values, and by intense collaboration between the product owners and the agile teams.

Agile Values Support Quality

The manifesto for agile software development describes the values that agile methods consider important. In my opinion, these values support the delivery of quality software:

- Individuals and interactions over processes and tools.
- Working software over comprehensive documentation.
- Customer collaboration over contract negotiation.
- Responding to change over following a plan.

Some examples how the agile values support quality are:



Working software over comprehensive documentation focuses on delivering products to users. It encourages early and frequent delivery, enabling users to use the software and start getting value.



Responding to change over following a plan results in higher quality, as it urges agile teams to adapt software that does not satisfy the needs of the users.

It is no surprise that agile teams deliver high-quality software and services to their users:



There's data on the [business benefits of agile](#) that confirms that agile supports quality. The [State of Agile Report](#) from VersionOne also mentions enhancing quality as one of the main reasons why organizations adopt agile.

Stories on Agile Quality

This chapter – Quality Software with Agile Teams – explores how agile principles and practices can be applied to deliver high-quality software. It contains stories and case studies from my experience in working with teams and managers, helping them to face and solve quality issues, and improving their performance for sustainable and lasting results.

Agile provides significant benefits when it comes to quality. If you want to improve quality then it helps to make a [business case for quality with agile](#).

As Philip Crosby stated years ago: [quality is still free](#). But you need to have a quality mindset to delight your customers, and you will

have to sell quality to your managers by showing them how it makes the business successful.

[Agile quality practices](#) like sprint planning meetings, daily stand-ups and retrospectives, and technical practices like pair programming or Test Driven Development all support the delivery of quality software.

Let's explore what happens when quality problems arise in software in a culture where people don't dare to speak up, being afraid to get punished if their managers finds out that their software isn't working properly: [What if we fail?](#)

[Teamwork enables agile teams to deliver high-quality software](#); it enables them to decide how to do their work, and helps to continuously learn and improve their way of working.

Agile supports [empowering teams](#), which is a more effective and quicker solution than adding people when quality becomes a problem. Empowered teams have what it takes to increase the quality of products.

Agile promotes that teams work in a [sustainable pace](#), delivering value to their customers. When teams are working under too much pressure, technical debt will increase and velocity of teams will go down.

Teams can [increase the quality of software with visual management](#). Making things visible helps teams to deliver products that their customers need and collaborate effectively with their customers and stakeholders.

Maintaining software programs costs lot of time and money, which organizations would like to invest in developing new functionality that brings value to their customers. You can [reduce software maintenance](#) by throwing your bad software code away.

[Measuring defects](#) can provide you with valuable information about the quality of your product, provided that you dive deeper to have a good understanding of the data and then act upon that.

[Agile retrospectives](#) can be used to investigate quality issues or to agree upon actions that can improve the quality of the software that is delivered.

[Root cause analysis](#) can be used in software development to build a shared understanding of a problem to determine the first or “root” causes. Knowing these causes helps to identify effective improvement actions to prevent similar problems in the future.



The stories in this chapter are meant to inspire you and give you ideas and energy to improve quality in your organization.

The stories also show how the practices described in [Deep Dive into Quality](#) have been used to deliver high-quality software.

Agile teams are driven by values that favor quality. They collaborate intensively with the users of the software and use practices to develop high-quality software products.

Deliver High-Quality Software with Agile Teamwork

Effective agile teams are able to decide how to do their work and to continuously learn and improve their way of working. Teamwork enables them to deliver high-quality software that satisfies the needs of their customers. They can use techniques like swarming and pair working to solve complex problems quickly and reduce their technical debt.

Quality through Teamwork

How does agile teamwork help to deliver high-quality software? It has to do with multidisciplinary teams that are able to solve complex problems, driven by their motivation and empowerment.



My opinion is that the whole team owns quality, which means that everyone on the team is responsible and accountable for their contribution towards delivering high-quality products. Give your teams the means to deliver high-quality software and allow them to self-organize and find out what works for them.

Most often quality issues are complex problems. They need to be viewed in multiple ways to solve them. Agile teams are multidisciplinary, they consist of professionals with different skills, knowledge, experiences, and backgrounds. Such teams have a diversity that helps to find innovative solutions and the know-how to collaborate for effective and lasting solutions.

Giving people freedom to decide how they will do their work will empower them. Self-organization gives freedom to teams.

In self-organized agile teams, motivation is often high since people feel that they are in control. Reaching the goal is what counts for teams and every team member will do the best (s)he can to get there.

Team Techniques for Quality Software

When there is a major issue, swarming can be used to address it effectively and quickly. The whole team focuses on a single issue and together they will do whatever it takes to solve it.



Agile teams should have all the skills and experience that is needed to deal with problems effectively. They should know how to communicate and collaborate to get the job done.

Team members can work in pairs to increase the quality of the software while writing it. They can take turns on the keyboard, and switch to remain sharp and spot problems or opportunities to improve code and reduce technical debt. Pair working makes it possible for professionals to learn new skills or sharpen existing ones.



If you're very deep into something, chances are that you start to overlook stuff – this is where pair working can help you. Also, two pairs of eyes can see more than one :-).

Managing Teams for Quality

Agile teams should be self-organized. They don't need managers to decide for them – telling them how to do their work isn't needed.

What managers can do to enable their teams to deliver high-quality software is:

- Make it crystal clear that quality matters to them.
- Reward teams that deliver high-quality software.
- Remove any impediments that teams bring up.
- Act as a servant leader to help their teams to be successful.

- Arrange for coaching and mentoring for teams.
- Allocate time for teams to learn and improve.

Learning to Deliver High-Quality Software

Software quality is free, teams that invest time and energy in building the right products with good quality will save money. Teamwork enables teams to deliver high-quality software.

Develop Your Software Quality Skills

I regularly provide public workshops and training, and in-house classes tailored to specific situation and needs of organizations.

Effective Root Cause Analysis

In the *Workshop Effective Root Cause Analysis* you will learn practical and effective techniques to analyze problems. You will practice these techniques using major defects or problems from your own organization.

What will you get out of this workshop:

- Understanding the why and how of root cause analysis.
- Experience how to do root cause analysis.
- Learn how to define actions to prevent problems.

Valuable Agile Retrospectives

In the [Workshop Valuable Agile Retrospectives](#) you will practice different kinds of retrospective and learn how to adopt and apply retrospectives in your own organization.

What will you get out of this workshop:

- Understand the why, what and how of agile retrospectives.
- Practice different retrospective exercises.
- Learn how to create a safe environment to run retrospectives.
- Practice skills for facilitating retrospectives.

Getting More out of Agile and Lean

In the [Workshop Getting more out of Agile and Lean](#) you will experience agile practices for teams and stakeholders with advice on how to deploy them, and tips and tricks to increase your agility.

What will you get out of this workshop:

- Practice sprint planning, stand-ups, demos and retrospectives.
- Improving collaboration in teams and between teams and stakeholders.
- Tips and tricks to improve your agile way of working.
- Advice on selecting and applying agile practices effectively.

Agile Self-assessment Game

The [Agile Self-Assessment Game](#) is used by teams and organizations to self assess their agility. Playing enables teams to reflect on their team interworking and take the next steps in their agile journey.

With this game, teams discover how agile they are and what they can do to deliver more value with high-quality software.

The cards of the Agile Self-assessment Game are based on the manifesto for agile software development and generally accepted agile principles and practices. This makes the game useful for all agile teams, whether using Scrum, Kanban, XP, Lean, DevOps, SAFe, LeSS or any other agile framework.

You can download the game and expansion packs in my [webshop](#).

Attend a Workshop

See my [upcoming workshops](#) for attending a public workshop.

[Contact me](#) if you want to have an in-house workshop tailored to the needs of your organization.

More information, see [Services Ben Linders Consulting](#).

About the Author

Ben Linders: Trainer / Coach / Adviser / Author / Speaker



Ben Linders is an Independent Consultant in Agile, Lean, Quality and Continuous Improvement, based in The Netherlands.

Author of [Getting Value out of Agile Retrospectives](#), [Waardevolle Agile Retrospectives](#), [What Drives Quality](#), and [Continuous Improvement](#). Creator of the [Agile Self-assessment Game](#).

As an adviser, coach and trainer I help organizations with deploying effective software development and management practices. I focuses on continuous improvement, collaboration and communication, and professional development, to deliver business value to customers.

I'm an active member of networks on Agile, Lean, and Quality, and a well known speaker and author.

I share my experiences in a [bilingual blog \(Dutch and English\)](#), as an [editor for Culture and Methods at InfoQ](#), and as an expert in communities like Computable, Quora, DZone, and TechTarget.

Follow me on twitter: [@BenLinders](#).

Bibliography

My Blog and Books

Ben Linders - Sharing my Experience - www.benlinders.com

[Getting Value out of Agile Retrospectives](#)

[What Drives Quality](#)

Register your book at benlinders.com/what-drives-quality

Books (Ordered on Title)

[Adrenaline Junkies and Template Zombies: Understanding Patterns of Project Behavior](#) by Tom DeMarco et al.

[Apollo Root Cause Analysis](#) by Dean L. Gano.

[Commitment: Novel about Managing Project Risk](#) by Olav Maassen, Chris Matts, and Chris Geary.

[Competitive Engineering](#) by Tom Gilb.

[Continuous Delivery](#) by Jez Humble and David Farley.

[Economics of Software Quality](#) by Capers Jones and Olivier Bon-signour.

[Effective Debugging: 66 Specific Ways to Debug Software and Systems](#) by Diomidis Spinellis.

[Fifty quick ideas to improve your user stories](#) by Gojko Adzic.

[Getting Value out of Agile Retrospectives](#) by Luis Gonçaves and Ben Linders.

[iTeam: Putting the 'T' Back into Team](#) by William E. Perry.

[Management 3.0](#) by Jurgen Appelo.

[Managing for Happiness](#) by Jurgen Appelo.

[More Agile Testing](#) by Janet Gregory and Lisa Crispin.

[Product Mastery](#) by Geoff Watts.

[RealityCharting](#) by Dean L. Gano.

[Reinventing organizations](#) by Frederic Laloux.

[Scrum: The Art of Doing Twice the Work in Half the Time](#) by Jeff Sutherland.

[The Business Value of Agile Software Methods](#) by Dr. David F. Rico et al.

[The Clean Coder](#) by Robert C. Martin.

[The Digital Quality Handbook](#) by Eran Kinsbruner.

[The Lean Startup](#) by Eric Ries.

[The Mythical Man-Month: Essays on Software Engineering](#) by Fred Brooks.

[The ROI from Software Quality](#) by Khaled El Emam.

[The Software Craftsman](#) by Sandro Mancuso.

[Turn the Ship Around!](#) by David Marquet.

[User Stories Applied](#) by Mike Cohn.

[Visualization Examples](#) by Jimmy Janlén.

Links

[Manifesto for Agile Software Development](#)

[retrospectives.eu](#)

[Retrospectives Exercises Toolbox](#)

[Agile Self-assessment Game](#)